RAP Review Form

Grant Mechanism: Family Support Award

Overall Score (from 1 to 9, whole number only): ...

Impact	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
High	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
Medium	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weaknesses
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
Low	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact

General Review (Please provide a one-page review) These comments WILL be shared with the applicant.

Please include comments for each of the following sections:

- 1. General critique and summary of the proposal.
- 2. Significance. Does the study address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field?
- 3. Approach. Are methods, study design and analysis appropriate?
- 4. Innovation. Is the study original and innovative?
- 5. Investigator: Does the applicant have training and experience that make them qualified to conduct the research? Does the applicant have a strong track record in research? Description of the qualities and potential of the applicant within the context of their overall contributions to UCSF, as well as their field(s) of research expertise.
- 6. Eligibility. Has the applicant clearly described the impact of their significant family caregiving burden? The need for the funds and how they will be used to continue their research program?
 - a. Description of the significant caregiving burden and impact of this caregiving on capacity to conduct research and/or to financially support the applicant's research program.
 - b. Identification of a qualified research and career mentor(s) who has provided guidance in the past if applicable.
 - c. Description of the qualities and potential of the applicant within the context of their overall contributions to UCSF, as well as their field(s) of research expertise.

- 7. Environment/Departmental Support: Will the scientific environment where the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Is appropriate support available from the Department? Does the applicant have access to the necessary tools?
- 8. Future potential. Is the research likely to lead to extramural funding?
- 9. Budget and Budget Justification: Does the proposed budget include only allowable expenses? Has the applicant clearly described the need for the funds and how they will be used to continue their research program? Is there a clear description of how the funds will be used and how an award will increase the capacity to conduct research (given the researcher's context).

Confidential Comments

Please add any confidential comments or concerns about the application. These comments **will NOT** be shared with the applicant.