

RAP Review Form

Grant Mechanism: **Shared Technology Awards**

Overall Score (from 1 to 9, whole number only): ...

| Impact | Score | Descriptor | Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| High | 1 | Exceptional | Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses |
| | 2 | Outstanding | Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses |
| | 3 | Excellent | Very strong with only some minor weaknesses |
| Medium | 4 | Very Good | Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses |
| | 5 | Good | Strong but with at least one moderate weaknesses |
| | 6 | Satisfactory | Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses |
| Low | 7 | Fair | Some strengths but with at least one major weakness |
| | 8 | Marginal | A few strengths and a few major weaknesses |
| | 9 | Poor | Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses |
| Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact | | | |

General Review (Please provide a one-page review) These comments **WILL** be shared with the applicant.

Please include comments for each of the following sections:

1. General critique and summary of the proposal.
2. Impact to a Broad Community: Who will benefit from the procurement of the technology, equipment or computational resource? Who will be able to use the technology, equipment, hardware, or dataset? Will the resource be available within a campus core?
3. Novelty of Equipment or Resource at UCSF: What is the availability of the equipment, hardware, or dataset? Is the equipment or computational resource not otherwise available on the campus?
4. Significance. Does the proposed technology, equipment, computational hardware, or dataset meet an important need?
5. Management Plan. Do the PI and team have adequate expertise to manage and maintain the resource? Will the resource be part of a current core? Is there a plan to manage/schedule the resource/equipment use? How will the users be charged for its use?
6. Cost-Sharing: What other support is available for the equipment, computational hardware, or dataset? Is there evidence of cost sharing among investigators, department, and the funding agency?
7. 7. Any question or concern about the budget?

Confidential Comments

Please add any confidential comments or concerns about the application.

These comments **will NOT** be shared with the applicant.